Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/904,294	HERSMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edna Wong	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Edna Wong</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul C. Remus</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 September 2004	Time: <u>11:15 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-22 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE (Applicants elected Group I, claims 1-12.	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a writte arate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
Caroll Con		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	plicant/Applicant's Representative Signation	gnature – if appropriate)
. (19)	Fire the same of topicoontains of	aa appropriate)